North Star Self-Assessment



North Star #1: Commitment to Outcomes

Establishing Social Impact Targets

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| We do not track annual social impact objectives | Our reports to funders describe our annual social impact objectives | We establish social annual impact objectives independ- ently of our funders | We use industry- recognized social impact indicators for annual goals | We engage third- party evaluators to help us establish and track annual social impact goals. |

Tools/Management and Information Systems (MIS)

| | | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| We use MIS or ad hoc tools to manage | We periodically query our MIS for | We use aggregated MIS data for | We are readily able to aggregate data | We are readily able to aggregate data |
| service delivery data, but do not aggregate | aggregated data for funders | assessment at monthly or quarterly | across data systems to conduct queries | across data systems and have a plan for |
| data for analysis | ,, | supervisory meetings. | 4 | continuing investment in data tools. |

Tracking Social Impact Targets

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| We do not track | We review progress | We incorporate social | We review social | We review social |
| social impact | annually. | impact reviews into | impact at supervisory | impact monthly or |
| objectives | | monthly or quarterly | and staff meetings on | quarterly as part of a |
| | | supervisory meeting | a monthly or | continuous |
| | | | quarterly basis | improvement |
| | | | | process. |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Assessing and Evaluating Social Impact Targets

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| We do not generally | We episodically | We episodically | We have a staffed | We have an |
| assess social impact | undertake internal | engage third-party | function that | evaluation partner |
| of programs | evaluations our work. | evaluation partners | manages assessment | AND a staffed |
| | | to assess our work. | processes. | function to manage |
| | | | | assessment |
| | | | | processes. |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Overall | Grade: | |
|---------|--------|--|
| | | |

North Star #2: Capacity for Innovation

Innovation Awareness

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| We do not have a ready awareness of innovation imperatives | We rely on our trade associations to surface trends. | Staff and board members actively seek and share competitive intelligence and industry trends | We have systems and processes for making actionable competitive intelligence and industry trends | We are considered an industry leader and are regularly consulted by others for industry intelligence and assessment |

Innovation Road Map

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Innovation in the | We use staff and | We have a regularly | This roadmap is | Financial resources |
| organization is ad | board meetings to | updated written road | linked to operations, | have been identified |
| hoc and non- | foster a general | map describing | technology, capacity | to support the |
| systematic. There is | understanding of key | innovation needs and | building, and | innovation road map. |
| no regular forum for | innovation | plans for the | financial plans for the | |
| assessing innovation | imperatives. | organization. | organization. | |
| requirements. | | | | |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Partnerships and Ecosystem Development

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| We have not | We rely on trade | We have one or more | Innovations from the | We have a pipeline or |
| identified partners | association fora to | MOUs that allow us | MOUs have enabled | plan of innovation |
| with whom to pursue | discuss innovation | to pursue innovation | us to expand business | partnerships to |
| an innovation | opportunities | strategies with | opportunities and | develop in the next |
| agenda | | partners | deepen social impact | 24-36 months. |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Overall Grade: _____

North Star #3: Partnerships, Mergers/Acquisitions, Networks

Merger/Acquisition and Partnership Strategy

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| We have not executed on a significant partnership opportunity and do not have a partnership or | We understand our core capabilities and weaknesses and assess partnership opportunities against this understanding | We proactively seek partnership opportunities based on this assessment | We have used this assessment to create a partnership strategy for the organization | We have attached business goals to the partnership strategy |

Execution of Mergers/Acquisitions and Partnerships

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| We have not | We treat the | We have identified | We have due | We have a reputation |
| executed on a | development of each | staff in the | diligence and funding | for smart, collegial, |
| significant | partnership | organization who are | protocols for | and efficient |
| partnership | opportunity | responsible for | responding to | partnership execution |
| opportunity | separately | seeking potential | partnership | |
| | | partnerships | opportunities | |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Partnership Management

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| We have not | We have executed on | We have identified | We regularly assess | We have identified |
| executed on a | partnerships, but | goals for each | partnership | learnings from our |
| significant | without articulated | partnership | performance against | partnership work |
| partnership | goals | engagement | those goals | that informs how we |
| opportunity | | | | pursue partnerships |
| Action Plan: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

North Star #4: Financial Policies and Practices

Business Assessment

| We manage the bottom line of organizationWe manage margins for individual revenueWe understand the interplay of different revenue streams and understand how differential margins leads to cross-subsidyWe regularly use that analysis to assess the viability of individual lines of business three years | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| organization streams or programs revenue streams and understand how differential margins viability of individual least one line of business in the last three years | We manage the | We manage margins | We understand the | We regularly use that | We have made the |
| understand how lines of business business in the last differential margins three years | bottom line of | for individual revenue | interplay of different | analysis to assess the | decision to exit at |
| differential margins three years | organization | streams or programs | revenue streams and | viability of individual | least one line of |
| | | | understand how | lines of business | business in the last |
| leads to cross-subsidy | | | differential margins | | three years |
| | | | leads to cross-subsidy | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Risk: Scenario Planning/Program Continuity

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| We have not assessed risks of the organization | We assess risks facing us in limited time windows (e.g. for a particular budget year) | We have assessed risks facing the organization across three or more years | We have developed mitigation plans for the risks and done scenario planning | We have used scenario planning to adjust financial policies or plans |
| tion Plan: | budget year) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Financial Stability

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| We do not have | We have established | We have contingency | We have | We have used this |
| financial targets | targets for key | plans to address | benchmarked our | benchmarking |
| outside of the | financial ratios, | significant variances | financial | process to re-assess |
| operating budget | which are reported | | performance against | business or financial |
| | regularly to board | | other organizations | practices |
| | and finance | | like ours | |
| | committee | | | |

Action Plan:

Financial Expertise and Oversight

Members of our finance committee know the difference between direct/indirect and allowed/disallowed costs.

At least one finance committee meeting each year is dedicated to an assessment of organizational risks.

The organization employs staff or has ready access to advisors with a range of for-profit and non-profit financial management.

The organization has undertaken a full cost accounting exercise for its largest lines of business in the last three years.

The CFO is a member of the management team.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| One or fewer of the above is true | Two are true | Three are true | Four are true | All are true | |
| Action Plan: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Overall Grade: | |
|----------------|--|
|----------------|--|