	A	B
1	**Criteria**	**Description**
2	**Innovation**	1= a) the description of the project as innovative is vague or unclear and no detail is provided about its content/structure; and/or b) the project is not unique or creative; and/or c) it is not clear that the project is an advancement over existing solutions. 2= a) the description of the project as innovative is somewhat clear and includes some (but not many) details about its content/structure; and/or b) there is a vague link between the project and the identified problem/unmet need; and/or c) the description of the project as one that is an advancement over existing solutions is described in minimal depth. 3= a) the description of the project as innovative is very clear and includes sufficient detail about its content/structure; and/or b) there is a clear link between the project and problem/unmet need; and/or c) the project description clearly demonstrates that it is an advancement over existing solutions. 4= a) the description of the project as innovative is exceptionally clear, provides detailed information about its content/structure, and reflects an innovation in service delivery/agency operations; and/or b) the link between the project and identified problem/unmet need is clear and compelling; and/or c) the project's reach or impact is clear and innovative.
3	**Impact**	1= a) no evaluation plan is provided, or the evaluation plan does not seem feasible; and/or b) there is a lack of alignment between the proposed outcomes and the project's content and structure; and/or c) no description of the project's impact to date is provided. 2= a) the evaluation plan lacks sufficient detail; and/or b) the feasibility of the evaluation plan is not clear; and/or c) the proposed outcomes do not fully align with project's content and structure; and/or d) the description of the project's impact to date is minimal and does not adequately justify why this project should be enhanced/expanded. 3= a) the evaluation plan is clearly specified and feasible; and/or b) the proposed outcomes align with the project's content and structure; and/or c) the description of the project's impact to date is clear and adequately justifies why this project should be enhanced/expanded. 4= a) the evaluation plan is sophisticated and feasible; and/or b) the proposed outcomes align with the project's content and structure; and/or c) the description of the project's impact to date is clear and compelling in justifying why this project should be enhanced/expanded.
4	**Scalability/ Replicability**	1= there is no indication that this project can be scaled and/or replicated. 2= there is a vaguely specified indication that this project can be scaled and/or replicated. 3= there is an adequate indication that this project can be scaled and/or replicated. 4= there is a comprehensive and clear indication that this project can be scaled and/or replicated.